Case 3:05-cv-03040-JLH Document 141 Filed 06/13/07 Page 1 of 17 PageID #:2521

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HARRISON DIVISION

MICHAEL S. GRIFFIS

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 05-3040

SHERIFF CHUCK MEDFORD;

LT. BETH REELY; JIMMY

KEELAND; MICHAEL BROWN;

PETE MOSS; JACK GENTRY;

MARK LOTTA; DR. THERESA FARROW;

DR. DONALD CLAY; SHELIA M.

HOWERTON; and REGINA FOX JEFFREY

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Separate Defendants Dr. Theresa Farrow and Dr. Donald Clay have filed a motion for summary judgment (Doc. 84). To assist plaintiff in responding to the summary judgment motion, the undersigned is propounding a questionnaire. The court will consider plaintiff's response to the questionnaire in issuing a report and recommendation on the summary judgment motion.

For this reason, Michael S. Griffis is hereby directed to complete, sign, and return the attached response to defendants' summary judgment motion on or before July 26, 2007. Plaintiff's failure to respond within the required period of time may subject this matter to dismissal for failure to comply with a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 13th day of June 2007.

/s/ J. Marschewski

HON. JAMES R. MARSCHEWSKI UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

-1-

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HARRISON DIVISION

MICHAEL S. GRIFFIS	PLAINTIFF
--------------------	-----------

v. Civil No. 05-3040

SHERIFF CHUCK MEDFORD; LT. BETH REELY; JIMMY KEELAND; MICHAEL BROWN; PETE MOSS; JACK GENTRY; MARK LOTTA; DR. THERESA FARROW; DR. DONALD CLAY; SHELIA M.

HOWERTON; and REGINA FOX JEFFREY

DEFENDANTS

RESPONSE TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

TO: MICHAEL S. GRIFFIS

These questions and answers will serve as your response to the motion for summary judgment filed by separate defendants Dr. Theresa Farrow and Dr. Donald Clay. You may use additional sheets of paper in responding to these questions. You must file this response by **July 26, 2007.**

by Jul	y 26, 2007.
	1. You were treated as an outpatient at the Ozark Guidance Center (OGC) in 1995 and
1996.	
	Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at \P 3.

Case 3:05-cv-03040-JLH Document 141 Filed 06/13/07 Page 3 of 17 PageID #: 2523

	5. Neither Dr. Farrow nor Dr. Clay were employed by the State of Arkansas or
Carro	oll County or any department or division of the State or any of its counties at any time
relev	ant to this case.
	Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at
	6. Neither Dr. Farrow nor Dr. Clay ever contracted with the State of Arkansas or
Carro	oll County or any department or division of the State or any of its counties for the
	shing of medical or psychiatric services.
	Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶
	if you disagree, explain. In explaining, please felor to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at

Case 3:05-cv-03040-JLH Document 141 Filed 06/13/07 Page 5 of 17 PageID #: 2525

	Agree	_Disagree	Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagre	ee, explain. I	In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶ 3.
	8. Dr. Clay v	vas not paid	or compensated in anyway by the State of Arkansas or
Carrol	l County, or a	ny departmen	nt or division of the State of Arkansas, or one of its counties,
for any	medical or ps	sychiatric ser	rvices he provided to you.
	Agree	_Disagree	Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagro	ee, explain. I	In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶ 4.
	9. Dr. Farrov	v was taking	call for Dr. Clay on January 2, 2004.
	Agree	_Disagree	Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagro	ee, explain. I	In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶ 5.

	10. On January 2, 2004, Dr. Farrow spoke with Beth Reely of the Carroll County Jail
regard	ng you.
	Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow at ¶
	4.
	11. Based upon Dr. Farrow's telephone conversation with Reely, her review of your
record	, her education, training and experience, Dr. Farrow requested that four of your
medica	tions, Lexapro, Paxil CR, Seroquel, and Trazodone, be discontinued and that you be
	off another medication, Diazepam.
	Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow at ¶
	4.
	12. Given the information provided Dr. Farrow by the Carroll County Jail which
indicat	ed you were not being compliant in taking the medications that had been prescribed to
marcal	a you were not being compliant in taking the incurcations that had been prescribed to

Case 3:05-cv-03040-JLH Document 141 Filed 06/13/07 Page 7 of 17 PageID #: 2527

you, and considering this information in light of your physical and mental condition as shown
in the treatment records of the OGC, Dr. Farrow concluded this medical decision was entirely
appropriate and well within the standard of care applicable to psychiatrists in good standing
in the State of Arkansas.
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow at \P
5.
13. Dr. Farrow was not paid or compensated in anyway by the State of Arkansas or
Carroll County, or any department or division of the State of Arkansas, or one of its counties,
for any medical or psychiatric services she provided to you.
Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow at ¶
6.

14. With a week, Dr. Clay reviewed Dr. Farrow's letter of January 2, 2004, directed
to Sgt. Beth Reely, Dr. Clay, and Diane Lyddon. Considering the information they had that
you were not being compliant with the medications prescribed to you, Dr. Clay had no
disagreement with Dr. Farrow's recommendations.
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶ 5.
15. In Dr. Clay's opinion, the medical decision Dr. Farrow made was entirely
appropriate and well within the standard of care applicable to psychiatrists in good standing
in the State of Arkansas.
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶ 5.
16. Dr. Clay never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from his care.
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at ¶

n Dr. Farrow's January 2, 2004, letter, did not cause you any harm or negatively impact y	(5.
n Dr. Farrow's January 2, 2004, letter, did not cause you any harm or negatively impact you obysical or mental condition or prognosis. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
n Dr. Farrow's January 2, 2004, letter, did not cause you any harm or negatively impact you obysical or mental condition or prognosis. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
n Dr. Farrow's January 2, 2004, letter, did not cause you any harm or negatively impact you obysical or mental condition or prognosis. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
n Dr. Farrow's January 2, 2004, letter, did not cause you any harm or negatively impact you obysical or mental condition or prognosis. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		17. In Dr. Clay's opinion the discontinuance and tapering of medications, as outlin
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow	n Dr. F	arrow's January 2, 2004, letter, did not cause you any harm or negatively impact yo
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow	physical	or mental condition or prognosis.
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at 7. 18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow	1	Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree
18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow]	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Clay at
18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care. Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		· ·
Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
Agree Disagree Without knowledge to agree or disagree If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		
If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow		18. OGC never refused to treat you and did not discharge you from its care.
	1	Agree DisagreeWithout knowledge to agree or disagree
]	If you disagree, explain. In explaining, please refer to the Affidavit of Dr. Farrow

Case 3:05-cv-03040-JLH Document 141 Filed 06/13/07 Page 9 of 17 PageID #: 2529

10 In Di	r Farrow's oninio	n, the discontinuance and tapering of the medications, a
	-	ter did not cause you any harm or negatively impact you
	-	
-	al condition or pro	
Agree	Disagree	Without knowledge to agree or disagree
If you disa	agree, explain. In	explaining, please refer to Defendants' Exhibit 2 at pag
3.		
20. Please	e state: (1) who d	ispensed medications at the Carroll County Jail; (b) the
times the medical	ions were dispens	sed; (c) whether you had to sign for medication as it was
) whether prior to	January 2, 2004, you had taken all medication you were
dispensed; and (d		
_	Clay or Dr. Farro	W.
	Clay or Dr. Farro	W.
	Clay or Dr. Farro	w.
	Clay or Dr. Farro	w.
	Clay or Dr. Farro	w.
	Clay or Dr. Farro	w.

Case 3:05-cv-03040-JLH Document 141 Filed 06/13/07 Page 10 of 17 PageID #: 2530

	21. Did you suffer any physical injury as a result of the discontinuance of any of the
medica	tions by Dr. Farrow?
	Answer: Yes No
	If you answered yes, please state: (a) what physical injuries you suffered; (b) the
sympto	ms you experienced; (c) the severity of the symptoms; (d) whether you sought, or
receive	d, medical care as a result of the injuries; and (e) how long it took you to recover as
result o	of the injuries.

e 3:05-cv-03040-JLH	Document 141	Filed 06/13/07	Page 12 of 17 PageID #: 2
22 Planca avn	lain in detail how v	ou baliava Dr. Cla	y exhibited deliberate
			y exhibited deliberate
indifference to your ser	ious medical needs		
Answer:			

ase 3:05-cv-03040-JLH	Document 141	Filed 06/13/07	Page 13 of 17 PageID #: 25
23. Please exp	lain in detail how y	ou believe Dr. Far	row exhibited deliberate
indifference to your sea	rious medical needs	5.	
Answer:			
Tillswei.			

se 3.05-	CV-03040-JLH	Document 141	Fileu 00/13/07	Paye 14 01 17 F	ageib #. 23
	24. Ordinarily	private individuals,	those who do not	work for governme	ental entities,
		1 0 1000 51			15 5
cannot	be held liable u	nder § 1983. Pleas	e explain why you	believe Dr. Clay ai	nd Dr. Farrov
-11.1	1 11.1 121.1	1 ¢ 1002 £ 41	-1111-4:	- C	4:44:1
snouia	be neid hable u	nder § 1983 for the	alleged violations	of your federal cor	istitutionai
rights.					
rigints.					

е	3:U5-CV-U3U4U-JLH	Document 141	Filed 06/13/07	Page 15 of 17 PageID #: 2
_				
-				
-				
-				
-				
_				
-				
_				
-				
-				
-				
-				
_				
-				
_				
	Datail balayy an	v further recoonse	vou would like to r	males to the motion for summar
	Detail below an	y further response	you would like to I	make to the motion for summar
j	udgment filed by separ	rate defendants' Dr	. Farrow and Dr. C	Clay. If you have any exhibits
,	you would like the cou	rt to consider you t	may attach them to	this response
•	you would like the coul	it to consider, you i	may attach them to	tins response.
-				
-				
_				

ase	3:05-cv-03040-JLH	Document 141	Filed 06/13/07	Page 16 of 17 PageID #: 2536

ocument 141	Filed 06/13/07	Page 17 of 17 PageID #: 253
DER PENALTY	OF PERJURY TH	AT THE FOREGOING IS
THIS	_DAY OF	2007.
-	MICHAEL S. C	GRIFFIS
	DER PENALTY	DER PENALTY OF PERJURY TH THIS DAY OF MICHAEL S. C